

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/774,619	02/09/2004	David Allan Atwood	434-263	8679
1009	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
KING & SC 247 NORTH	HICKLI, PLLC BROADWAY		SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
LEXINGTON, KY 40507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1626	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/774,619	ATWOOD, DAVID ALLAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Taofiq A. Solola	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 10-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-33 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 34-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeding a control of the cont	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	Paper No(s)/Mail D	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,619

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 34-47 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-9 are cancelled.

Claims 10-33 are drawn to non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 34-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no definition for the size of the rings of claim 34 in the specification. There is no support for E and E' is O or S. It is not possible for the rings to be any size as in claim 34.

Therefore, the specification lacks adequate description for claims 34-47. By adding the definition the rejection would be overcome. However, the introduction of a new subject matter into the specification would raise the issue of new matter rejection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 34-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards

Application/Control Number: 10/774,619

Art Unit: 1626

as the invention. For reasons set forth above under 35 USC 112, first paragraph claims 34-47 are indefinite. Also, it is not possible to determine the metes and bounds of the claims.

The phraseology "any combination thereof" in claims 34-35 (for example, lines 6-8, claim 34) renders claims 34-47 indefinite. It is not possible for the chemical elements recited to exist in combination in formulae of claims 34-35. This definition is contrary to general knowledge of chemical bonding. By deleting the phraseology the rejection would be overcome.

The term "comprising", line 1 of claims 34-35, renders claims 34-47 indefinite. The term is an open-ended term and cannot be used in referring to a compound. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 40-41 depend from claims 34-36, which appear in the claims as being cancelled.

Therefore, the claims are indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that "comprising" in claims 34-35 are not indefinite. This is not persuasive because applicant fails to advance any argument in support of the assertion.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 34-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Keizer et al., Canadian J. Chem, (2002), Vol. 80, No. 11, page 1463-68.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,619

Art Unit: 1626

Keizer et al., disclose the instant compounds in pages 1463-1465. Keizer et al., disclose salen ligand, a Schiff base-containing ligand. For example, see the specification, page 5, lines 11-12.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant filed an affidavit (12/5/05) asserting that the co-authors in the above prior art are not co-authors in the instant application. This is not persuasive because the assertion does not exclude them as co-authors of the prior art.

### Specification

The amendment filed 1/17/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material, which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The phraseology "any combination thereof" in claims 34-35 (for example, lines 6-8, claim 34) and the new formulae in pages 1-4 of the amendment.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/774,619 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

TAOFIQ SOLOLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Group 1626

March 20, 2006